

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/694,502	10/24/2000	Kazumi Kimura	35.C14889	1071	
5514 75	590 06/10/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	K CELLA HARPER	PHAM, HAI CHI			
30 ROCKEFEL NEW YORK, 1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2861		
			D. T		

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan.	09/694,502	KIMURA, KAZUMI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hai C. Pham	2861	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	alion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	March 2005.		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	vance except for formal ma		ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9,13,15,16,18 and 19 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) 9 and 16 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,13,15,18 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·	• • •	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	;
Attachmant(a)		·	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5) Notice o 6) Other: _	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/694,502 Page 2

Art Unit: 2861

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - The following limitation "said registration detecting unit ... effects correction control of correcting the scanning magnification" appears to be confused since such correction is being dedicated to the correcting unit as recited in the base claim 9.
 - The first portion of the limitation "said registration detecting unit is disposed so as
 to be capable of detecting two image heights substantially symmetrical with
 respect to the optical axis of said scanning optical element" is redundant and
 should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/694,502

Art Unit: 2861

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-2, 4, 7-8 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al. (U.S. 6,452,687) in view of Kato (U.S. 5,963,356).

Suzuki discloses a color image forming apparatus for scanning light beam from at least one scanning optical apparatus, each of which comprises a light source (1), a deflecting element (polygon mirror 5), a scanning optical element (6), and a registration or scanning position detecting unit (detecting sensor portions 20a-c) for detecting the deflected beam on the scanned surface at a position corresponding to one image height separate from the optical axis of said scanning optical element (detecting sensor portions 20a and 20c disposed at the image heights of the main scanning line separate from the optical axis of the scanning lenses 6) (Fig. 4).

Although Suzuki et al. teaches using a synchronous detector (not shown) for detecting the passage of the deflected light beam to generate a horizontal synchronous signal based on which the lateral positional deviation of the left side or the timing of the start of the scan can be corrected, Suzuki et al. however fails to teach the optical element directing the deflected light beam to the detector (claim 1), the optical element being an anamorphic lens (claim 2).

Kato discloses a scanning optical apparatus including a BD lens (42) for guiding the deflected light beam from the polygon mirror (5) to the BD sensor (9), wherein the optical axis of the BD lens is coincident with a principal ray of the deflected beam from the polygon mirror, the BD lens being anamorphic.

Art Unit: 2861

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide an anamorphic lens in the device of Suzuki et al. for guiding the deflected light beam to the beam detection sensor as taught by Kato. The motivation for doing so would have been allow the deflected beam to be focused on the light-receiving surface of the beam detection sensor.

With regard to claims 4, 7-8, 18-19, Suzuki et al. further teaches:

- Said scanning optical element being made of a plastic material (plastic toric lens
 61),
- Said scanning optical element (6) comprising a refracting optical element and a diffracting optical element (col. 13, lines 25-29),
- Said scanning optical element effects correction control of correcting a scanning magnification in conformity with the output of said scanning position detecting unit (col. 13, lines 25-51).

With regard to claims 18 and 19, Suzuki et al. teaches the scanning position detecting unit detecting the deflected beam at two image height symmetrical in both upstream and downstream of the scanning direction, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize such teachings of Suzuki et al. to correct the positional deviation of the main scanning line based on a single detector located at either image heights since detecting one such image height position is inclusive of controlling the image heights at both ends of the scanning line in accordance with Suzuki et al.'s general teachings.

5. Claims 3, 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al. in view of Kato ('356), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kato (U.S. 6,822,666).

Suzuki et al., as modified by Kato ('356), discloses all the basic limitations of the claimed invention including the second optical element (cylinder lens 4) intermediate said light source (1) and said deflecting element (5) for converting a light beam from said light source into a linear image elongated in a main scanning direction (col. 13, lines 6-19), but except for the synchronous detection lens and the second optical lens being of a plastic material integrally molded by plastic injection molding.

Kato ('666) discloses a color image forming apparatus including at least one scanning optical apparatus being provided with a correction means for correcting the magnification error in the main scanning direction, each of the scanning optical apparatus including a scanning optical element having a refracting optical element (6) and a diffracting optical element (62) made of resin, a synchronous detection optical element (7) provided as an anamorphic lens for focusing the deflected light beam to the beam detection sensor and the second optical lens (4) as a cylindrical lens for producing linear images on the deflection plane of the polygon mirror in the main scanning direction, wherein the scanning optical element, the synchronous detection optical element and the second optical element being of a plastic material integrally molded by plastic injection molding.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide the optical elements in the device of Suzuki et al. with plastic lenses as taught by Kato ('66). The motivation for doing so would have

been to provide inexpensive plastic lenses for effectively suppressing the jittering phenomenon of the scanning optical apparatus due to the variation of the multiple light beams and the lateral magnification due to environmental changes.

Page 6

6. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al. in view of Kato ('356), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Maekawa (U.S. 5,889,594).

Suzuki et al., as modified by Kato ('356), discloses all the basic limitations of the claimed invention except for the printer controller for converting code image data.

However, it is old and well known in the art that the device for converting the code data into image signal used to modulate the laser beam is part of the input interface of any printer, as evidenced by Maekawa, which discloses a printer controller unit (103) (Fig. 3) including an interface unit (306) for receiving an input data signal from an external device and an image data generating unit (303) for converting the received input code data into image data for an actual printing (col. 3, line 58 to col. 4, line 17).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the printer controller in the modified device of Suzuki et al. since Maekawa teaches this to be known in the art to provide a printer controller including the input interface unit and the image data generating unit such that the external code data can be converted into a usable data for modulating the laser beam of the laser printer.

Application/Control Number: 09/694,502

Art Unit: 2861

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 7

7. Claims 9 and 16 are allowed.

8. Claim 13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8, 15 and 18-19 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai C. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2260. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on (571) 272-1934. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/694,502

Art Unit: 2861

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Page 8

Harzlitham

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

June 7, 2005